

MINUTES
TECHNOLOGY TASK FORCE
ONE-TO-ONE GOVERNANCE AND INSTRUCTIONAL INTEGRATION SUBCOMMITTEE

DATE: July 12, 2011
TIME: 8:30 AM
PLACE: EW41

MEMBERS: Vice Chair Brian Duncan, Christopher Campbell, Salvatore Lorenzen, Bicker Therien, Christine Donnell

Vice Chairman Duncan called the meeting to order.

The Committee discussed the survey questions. The general consensus was to go right to the second page discussing uses of the devices. The committee went through each question discussing the importance of each and if they should be included in the final survey. The committee would like clarification on the compatibility between devices and certain applications. Can a relationship be formed between manufacturers and application vendors to make them more universally compatible? The Committee noted the importance of passing along a list of devices to the procurement committee. The committee thought some questions needed to be clarified while some could be combined or done away with completely.

The committee discussed the physical attributes section of the survey. They thought that some of the questions should be re-worded.

The Committee discussed the need to add some questions to include other committees' concerns. They thought that the wording giving the districts the option of which device to use should be taken out. The consensus was that there would be fewer barriers if the technical support was universal. There are still concerns over 'ownership' of the devices. Some of these questions should be set by policy and special circumstances.

Some committee members thought that the device ranking section should be eliminated because the device names are meaningless to most people.

It was discussed how and when to send out the survey. Most members thought it should go out after the August meeting, feeling there would be limited responses now making the usefulness questionable. The Committee discussed who should receive the survey. One member is going to do a focus group survey in his district. The Students Come First website could have a link to the survey using Survey Monkey or something similar. There was some discussion on whether to have separate survey locations for school personnel and student/parents to filter answers.

The Committee discussed Maine's framework for policy and whether it should be used as a foundation for Idaho's policies. The Committee would like to see other states' policies and compare. The State Department of Education will get a project site up and running so the committee members can work on framework, strip verbiage, and just use headings from MLTI.

Questions remain on who owns the device. If districts already have devices for each student, can they receive funds instead?

The Committee discussed goals for the next meeting. A timeline needs to be created, but first a collaboration site needs to be available so that the committee can clarify with staff, make changes to survey and view additional state policies.

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 10:15 am.